

Exercise 6.2 (No-arbitrage derivation of bond-pricing equation)

Suppose that interest rate is provided by the following SDE

$$dR(t) = \alpha(t, R(t))dt + \gamma(t, R(t))dW(t).$$

Bond-pricing equation driven via risk-neutral pricing is given as follows

$$f_t(t, r, T) + \beta(t, r)f_r(t, r, T) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, r)f_{rr}(t, r, T) = rf(t, r, T).$$

Here $f(t, r, T) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[e^{-\int_t^T R(s)ds} | \mathcal{F}(t)]$, $B(t, T) = f(t, R(t), T)$, and $\beta(t, R(t))$ denotes the drift under risk-neutral measure inside interest rate's SDE. Derive this equation using a no-arbitrage argument.

Proof

Define $\beta(t, r, T)$ such that

$$f_t(t, r, T) + \beta(t, r, T)f_r(t, r, T) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, r)f_{rr}(t, r, T) = rf(t, r, T).$$

This is readily possible if $f_r(t, r, T) \neq 0$. If $f_r(t, r, T) = 1$, then set $\beta(t, r, T_i) = 1$. Consider the following portfolio value process for $t \in [0, T_1]$: At time t holds

- $\Delta_1(t)$ of bonds maturing at time T_1
- $\Delta_2(t)$ of bonds maturing at time T_2
- Borrow or invest in the money market account if necessary

Note that

$$dX(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t)df(t, r, T_i) + R(t) \left(X(t) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t)f(t, r, T_i) \right) dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dD(t)X(t) &= D(t)dX(t) + X(t)dD(t) \\ &= D(t)dX(t) - X(t)D(t)R(t)dt \\ &= D(t) \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t)df(t, r, T_i) + R(t) \left(X(t) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t)f(t, r, T_i) \right) dt - X(t)R(t)dt \right] \\ &= D(t) \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t) [df(t, r, T_i) - R(t)f(t, r, T_i)dt]. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, r, T_i) &= f_t(t, r, T_i)dt + f_r(t, r, T_i)dR(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{rr}(t, r, T_i)dR(t)dR(t) \\ &= f_t(t, r, T_i)dt + \alpha(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{rr}(t, r, T_i)dR(t)dR(t) \\ &= f_t(t, r, T_i)dt + \alpha(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, r)f_{rr}(t, r, T_i)dt \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& df(t, r, T_i) - R(t)f(t, r, T_i)dt \\
&= f_t(t, r, T_i)dt + \alpha(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, r, T_i)f_{rr}(t, r, T_i)dt \\
&\quad - f_t(t, r, T_i)dt - \beta(t, r, T_i)f_r(t, r, T_i)dt - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(t, r, T_i)f_{rr}(t, r, T_i)dt \\
&= f_r(t, r, T_i)[\alpha(t, r) - \beta(t, r, T_i)]dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t)
\end{aligned}$$

We now construct an arbitrage portfolio if $\beta(t, R(t), T_1) \neq \beta(t, R(t), T_2)$. We say a portfolio value process $X(t)$ satisfying $X(0) = 0$ is an arbitrage if for some time $T > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(X(T) \geq 0) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(X(T) > 0) > 0.$$

Define

$$M(t) = [\beta(t, R(t), T_2) - \beta(t, R(t), T_1)]f_r(t, R(t), T_1)f_r(t, R(t), T_2)$$

Set

$$S(t) = \text{sign}M(t)$$

where $\text{sign} \in \{1, -1, 0\}$. Denote

$$\Delta_i(t) = (-1)^{i+1}S(t)f_r(t, R(t), T_j) \text{ where } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(D(t)X(t)) &= D(t) \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t) [df(t, r, T_i) - R(t)f(t, r, T_i)dt] \\
&= D(t) \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i(t) (f_r(t, r, T_i)[\alpha(t, r) - \beta(t, r, T_i)]dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t)) \\
&= D(t) \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i+1}S(t)f_r(t, R(t), T_j)(f_r(t, r, T_i)[\alpha(t, r) - \beta(t, r, T_i)]dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T_i)dW(t)) \\
&= D(t)S(t)f_r(t, R(t), T_1)f_r(t, R(t), T_2) \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i+1}[\alpha(t, r) - \beta(t, r, T_i)]dt + \gamma(t, r)dW(t) \\
&= D(t)S(t)f_r(t, R(t), T_1)f_r(t, R(t), T_2)[\beta(t, r, T_2) - \beta(t, r, T_1)]dt \\
&= D(t) \underbrace{|M(t)|}_{:=\mu(t)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d(D(t)X(t)) = \mu(t)D(t)dt \text{ for some } \mu(t) \geq 0.$$

Hence, to ensure X does not result in an arbitrage opportunity, we must have that $\mu(t) = 0$ a.s. Therefore, $\beta(t, r, T)$ does not depend on T and we have the same ODE for pricing bonds that was obtained via risk-neutral pricing. Finally, if we define

$$\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \frac{\alpha(u, R(u)) - \beta(u, R(u))}{\gamma(u, R(u))} du.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, r, T) - R(t)f(t, r, T)dt &= f_r(t, r, T) [\alpha(t, r) - \beta(t, r, T)] dt + \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T)dW(t) \\ &= \gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r, T)d\tilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, fixating T and omitting it from the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} dD(t)f(t, r) &= D(t)df(t, r) - f(t, r)D(t)R(t)dt \\ &= D(t)(df(t, r) - f(t, r)R(t)dt) \\ &= D(t)\gamma(t, r)f_r(t, r)d\tilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ under which \tilde{W} is a Brownian motion is risk neutral.